

United States Patent and Trademark Office

een

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/523,972	02/08/2005	Eiji Kadouchi	43890-715	1562
20277 75	590 12/06/2006		EXAMINER	
	T WILL & EMERY L	BERHANU, SAMUEL		
600 13TH STR WASHINGTO	EET, N.W. N, DC 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	, 20 2000000		2838	

DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/523,972	KADOUCHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Samuel Berhanu	2838		

·	Samuel Berhanu	2838	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 November 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice o ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mail	ing date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		HE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing d	it of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		OTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			tha iaawaa far
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially r	eaucing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	eiected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	,	,	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	will not be entered, or b) ✓ vided below or appended.	vill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a l d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> avit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under app	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	·	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. ⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
		\	
		7	
	Clini	KARL EASTHO)M

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. Regarding the argument as the art not showing two temperature sensors, the claim does not require two temperature controls. As to the argument that element 34 is used twice, this is not asserted. As shown in the prior office communication, Hashiguchi et. al. disclose in Figures 1-2, element 3 (door) as a heat retaining and releasing mechanism that controls the temperature of the battery storing section of the box. Clonsic's PTC device supplies the other control (element 44) of the previous office action on page 4, paragraph 3.

Continuation of 13. Other: Claims 1, 4-58-9 and 11 will be rejected with the art appllied for Claims 2-5 in the previous office action .